

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/542,716	•	04/04/2000	Allan Havemose	AMI990003	5108	
32718	7590	04/07/2004	•	EXAMINER		
GATEWA	•	RLES RICHARDSO	OPIE, GE	OPIE, GEORGE L		
610 GATEV			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
N. SIOUX			2126	10		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A1:4: NI	Applicant/s)				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Surrenance	Havemose 09/542,716					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George L. Opie	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	LVIO OETTO EVOIDE A M	ONTHIO) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		ONTH(S) FROM				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3	7 CFR 1 136 (a) In no event how	ever may a reply be timely filed				
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) di	inication.					
be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto						
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,						
Status	, by statute, cause the application to	become ABANDONED (55 0.5.0. § 100).				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	January 2004 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) X	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) X Claim(s) 7-8 and 13-20 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) X Claim(s) <u>7-8 and 13-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)_ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of the CE	RTIFIED copies of the priorit	y documents have been:				
1 received.						
received in Application No. (Series Co	ode / Serial Number)					
zeceived in this National Stage applica	ition from the International Bi	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not r	received.				

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

17) ____ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ___ 18) ___ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 19) X Other: Text doc for USP5,727,145

14) ___ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Request for Continued Examination, entered on 29 January 2004, has established the renewed consideration of the pending claims in this, the 09/542,716 Application.
- 2. This Office Action is responsive to Amendment B, filed 10 December 2003.

The Office acknowledges Applicant's inclusion of an electronic copy of the amendment on a 3½ inch floppy disk, and the Office would like to thank Applicant for submitting the amendment in electronic form to expedite its processing.

3. Descriptive Title Required

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. MPEP606.01

- 4. The U.S. Patents used in the art rejections below have been provided as text documents which correspond to the U.S. Patents. The relevant portions of the text documents are cited according to page and line numbers in the art rejections below. For the convenience of Applicant, the cited sections are highlighted in the *text documents*. Consistent with Office procedure, the U.S. Patents corresponding to the *text documents* are also included with this action.
- 5. Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7-8 and 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dancs et al. (u.S. Patent 6,385,651) in view of Nessett et al. (u.S. Patent 5,727,145).

As to claim 7, Dancs teaches a method for "providing managed access to network computer devices", p4 11-23 comprising

"network computer client device (NC) ... sends the relationship server a unique identifier ... the NC also transmits an enterprise identification number ... uniquely specifying the ISP to which the user wishes to connect", abstract

"relationship server 111 looks up the manufacturer identification number in the database 112", p5 39-57

"the relationship server determines whether the specified manufacturer has authorized connection to the specified ISP", p2 43 – p3 7 and

"NC client 101 ... authorized services.", p5 39-57
Dancs does not explicitly disclose the additional limitations detailed below.

Nessett teaches receiving, by an appliance service (location service, p9 45 – p10 2) an authentication interface dynamic base object (client 20 communicates directly with servant object through a dynamic invocation interface, p5 37-47) including an appliance service request having an appliance type and identifier (object reference ... includes ... identifier, p4 3-16).

It would have been obvious to combine Nessett's teachings with Dancs because the object authentication would provide Dancs with a central object-oriented security paradigm for effectively managing appliance interaction in the distributed computing environment.

As to claim 8, Dancs teaches information containing the services provided to the appliance, p5 39-57.

As to claim 13, Dancs teaches a method for "providing managed access to network computer devices", p4 11-23

"network computer client device ... transmits an enterprise identification number ... uniquely specifying the ISP to which the user wishes to connect", abstract, and

the client "connects to a central relationship server" that makes the authentication determination and, if the client is authorized "the relationship server transmits NC connection information for the ISP ... ", p2 34 – p3 7. Dancs does not explicitly disclose the additional limitations detailed below.

Nessett teaches receiving, by an appliance service (location service, p9 45 – p10 2) an authentication interface dynamic base object (client 20 communicates directly with servant object through a dynamic invocation interface, p5 37-47) including an appliance service request having an appliance type and identifier (object reference ... includes ... identifier, p4 3-16).

It would have been obvious to combine Nessett's teachings with Dancs because the object authentication would provide Dancs with a central object-oriented security paradigm for effectively managing appliance interaction in the distributed computing environment.

As to claims 14-17, Nessett (p9 45-57) provides the object-oriented paradigm that would support Dancs'es (pp8-15) billing mechanisms, thereby producing dynamic object interfaces for handling the requisite accounting transactions.

As to claim 18, Dancs (p5 39-57) teaches providing client transmits its device info including, inter alia, the manufacturer identification number, the model number and the device serial number, , sans the user's identity.

As to claim 19, Dancs (p14 46-55) teaches the ISP server handles account data including "credit card billing" systems.

As to claim 20, Nessett (p5 48 – p6 3) teaches object encryption for protecting the communications.

- 7. The prior art of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. Each reference disclosed below is relevant to one or more of the Applicant's claimed invention.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,510,236 to Crane et al. which teaches the central authentication server;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,385,729 to DiGiorgio et al. which teaches the object authorization for payment transactions;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,219,790 to Lloyd et al. which teaches the centralized server for securing client authentication and accounting information.

8. Response to Applicant's Arguments:

Applicant's arguments accompanying Amendment B, have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The Office acknowledges Applicant's inclusion of an electronic copy of the amendment on a 3½inch floppy disk, and the Office would like to thank Applicant for submitting the amendment in electronic form to expedite its processing.

9. Request for copy of Applicant's response on floppy disk:

Please help expedite the prosecution of this application by including, along with your amendment response in paper form, an electronic file copy in WordPerfect, Microsoft Word, or in ASCII text format on a 3½ inch IBM format floppy disk. Please include all pending claims along with your responsive remarks. Only the paper copy will be entered — your floppy disk file will be considered a duplicate copy. Signatures are not required on the disk copy. The floppy disk copy is not mandatory; however, it will help expedite the processing of your application. Your cooperation is appreciated.

10. Contact Information:

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

All responses sent by U.S. Mail should be mailed to:
Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

☐ Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park Two, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist). All hand-delivered responses will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. Please do not hand deliver responses directly to the Examiner.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

All OFFICIAL faxes will be handled and entered by the docketing personnel. The date of entry will correspond to the actual FAX reception date unless that date is a Saturday, Sunday, or a Federal Holiday within the District of Columbia, in which case the official date of receipt will be the next business day. The application file will be promptly forwarded to the Examiner unless the application file must be sent to another area of the Office, e.g., Finance Division for fee charging, etc.

- ☐ Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Opie at (703) 308-9120 or via e-mail at *George.Opie@uspto.gov*. Internet e-mail should not be used where sensitive data will be exchanged or where there exists a possibility that sensitive data could be identified unless there is an express waiver of the confidentiality requirements under 35 U.S.C. 122 by the Applicant. Sensitive data includes confidential information related to patent applications.

MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100